### Table 2. Bond lengths (Å) and angles (°)

$A_{s(1)} = O(1)$	1.652 (1)	As(1)-O(2)	1.707 (2)
As(1) - O(3)	1.708 (2)	As(1)-C(1)	1.928 (2)
O(4)C(7)	1.220(3)	C(1) - C(2)	1.385 (3)
C(1) - C(6)	1.399 (3)	C(2) - C(3)	1.396 (3)
C(3) - C(4)	1.378 (4)	C(4) - C(5)	1.370 (4)
C(5) - C(6)	1.398 (3)	C(6) - C(7)	1.489 (3)
C(7) - C(8)	1.484 (3)	C(8) - C(9)	1 398 (3)
C(8) - C(13)	1.399 (3)	C(9)-C(10)	1.388 (4)
C(10)-C(11)	1.371 (5)	C(11)–C(12)	1.374 (4)
C(12)-C(13)	1.375 (4)		
O(1) - As(1) - O(2)	110.6 (1)	O(1) - As(1) - O(3)	114.9 (1)
O(2) - As(1) - O(3)	101.9(1)	O(1) - As(1) - C(1)	116-5 (1)
O(2) - As(1) - C(1)	100.7(1)	O(3) - As(1) - C(1)	110-3 (1)
As(1)-C(1)-C(2)	116.9 (2)	As(1)-C(1)-C(6)	122.3 (2)
C(2)-C(1)-C(6)	120.8 (2)	C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	119.7 (2)
C(2)-C(3)-C(4)	119.7 (2)	C(3)-C(4)-C(5)	120-5 (2)
C(4) - C(5) - C(6)	121.2 (2)	C(1)-C(6)-C(5)	118.0 (2)
C(1)-C(6)-C(7)	120-2 (2)	C(5)–C(6)–C(7)	121.9 (2)
O(4)-C(7)-C(6)	118.9 (2)	O(4)–C(7)–C(8)	120.1 (2)
C(6)-C(7)-C(8)	120.9 (2)	C(7) - C(8) - C(9)	122.8 (2)
C(7)-C(8)-C(13)	118.5 (2)	C(9) - C(8) - C(13)	118-5 (2)
C(8)-C(9)-C(10)	120.0 (3)	C(9)C(10)C(11)	120.6 (2)
C(10)-C(11)-C(1)	2) 119-8 (3)	C(11)-C(12)-C(13	) 120-9 (3)
C(8)-C(13)-C(12	) 120-2 (2)		

Related literature. For the preparation of the compound see Aeschlimann & McCleland (1924), who also assigned the structure correctly; for spectroscopic studies see Parmar & Saluja (1988). For spectroscopic studies of related compounds see Parmar, Basra, Malhotra & Sandhu (1980, 1981).

We thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for financial support.



Fig. 1. View of the title molecule with atom numbering.

#### References

- AESCHLIMANN, J. A. & MCCLELAND, N. P. (1924). J. Chem. Soc. pp. 2025–2035.
- CLEGG, W. (1981). Acta Cryst. A37, 22-28.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- PARMAR, S. S., BASRA, T. S., MALHOTRA, R. M. & SANDHU, S. S. (1980). Indian J. Chem. 19A, 886–888.
- PARMAR, S. S., BASRA, T. S., MALHOTRA, R. M. & SANDHU, S. S. (1981). Indian J. Chem. 20A, 235–239.
- PARMAR, S. S. & SALUJA, H. K. (1988). In preparation.
- ROGERS, D. (1981). Acta Cryst. A 37, 734-737.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Crystal structure refinement program. Univ. of Cambridge, England. Modified by GMS.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. In Crystallographic Computing 3, edited by G. M. SHELDRICK, C. KRÜGER & R. GODDARD, pp. 175–189. Oxford Univ. Press.

Acta Cryst. (1988). C44, 2025-2027

# Structure of Pentacarbonyl(cycloheptatrienyl)(cyclopentadienyl)dimolybdenum(Mo-Mo)

BY ROY L. BEDDOES, ALLEN RICALTON AND MARK W. WHITELEY

Department of Inorganic Chemistry, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, England

(Received 18 May 1988; accepted 1 August 1988)

Abstract.  $[Mo_2(C_5H_5)(C_7H_7)(CO)_5]$ ,  $M_r = 488.2$ , monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ , a = 9.949 (2), b = 8.568 (1), c = 20.580 (5) Å,  $\beta = 110.00$  (3)°, V = 1648.5 Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4,  $D_x = 1.97$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>,  $\lambda$ (Mo Ka) = 0.71069 Å,  $\mu = 1.67$  mm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 952, T = 294 K, R = 0.0222 for 2393 unique reflections with  $F > 3\sigma(F)$ . The molecule consists of Mo( $\eta$ -C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(CO)<sub>2</sub> and Mo( $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)(CO)<sub>3</sub> fragments linked by an unsupported Mo–Mo bond of length 3.160 (1) Å.

**Experimental.** The title compound was prepared by reaction of  $[Mo(\eta-C_7H_7)(NCMe)(CO)_2][BF_4]$  with Li- $[Mo(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_3]$  (Breeze, Ricalton & Whiteley,

0108-2701/88/112025-03\$03.00

1987); crystallization by slow diffusion of hexane into a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution of the compound afforded dark-green crystals. Crystal size  $0.075 \times 0.16 \times 0.28$  mm, CAD-4 diffractometer, cell parameters were derived from the setting angles of 25 reflections ( $19 < 2\theta < 25^{\circ}$ ), no absorption or extinction correction, no significant systematic drift in one standard reflection measured at  $2\frac{1}{2}$  h intervals during data collection. Scan mode  $\omega/2\theta$ , scan width =  $(0.6 + 0.35 \tan\theta)^{\circ}$ ,  $(\sin\theta/\lambda)_{max} = 0.595$  Å<sup>-1</sup>, scan time 7 to 120 s,  $h 0 \rightarrow 11$ ,  $k 0 \rightarrow 10$ ,  $l-24\rightarrow 22$ . 3104 measured reflections, all unique, 2393 with  $F > 3\sigma(F)$  used in refinement. Structure solved by the heavy-atom method, refinement was by partitioned

© 1988 International Union of Crystallography

4. 5. 5.

Table	1.	Atomic coordinates $(\times 10^4)$ and equ	ivalent			
isotropic thermal parameters $(Å^2)$						

$\boldsymbol{B}_{eq} = \frac{8}{3}\pi^2 \sum_l \sum_j U_{ij} \boldsymbol{a}_i^* \boldsymbol{a}_j^* \boldsymbol{a}_l \cdot \boldsymbol{a}_j.$					
x	У	z			
3052-5 (3)	2593.6 (3)	712.9 (1)			
2077-1 (3)	2242.7 (3)	2014-5 (1)			
3228 (4)	276 (4)	881 (2)			
3335 (3)	-1037 (3)	956 (2)			
960 (4)	2136 (4)	312 (2)			
-235 (3)	1887 (4)	65 (2)			
4158 (3)	2094 (4)	2233 (2)			
5383 (3)	1929 (3)	2469 (1)			
2748 (4)	3673 (4)	2796 (2)			
3149 (3)	4476 (3)	3270 (1)			
1442 (4)	4239 (4)	1528 (2)			
934 (3)	5413 (3)	1300 (2)			
3849 (7)	2627 (6)	-197 (3)			
5026 (5)	2347 (5)	386 (3)			
5496 (4)	3119 (5)	1008 (2)			
4897 (5)	4335 (4)	1231 (2)			
3729 (5)	5213 (4)	869 (3)			
2826 (6)	5068 (5)	207 (3)			
2818 (6)	3840 (8)	-279 (2)			
665 (4)	-5 (5)	1529 (2)			
1698 (4)	448 (4)	2152 (2)	•		
1440 (4)	379 (4)	2681 (2)			
256 (4)	1348 (5)	2382 (2)			
-225 (4)	1116 (5)	1670 (2)			
	$B_{eq} = \frac{x}{3052 \cdot 5} (3)$ 2077.1 (3) 3228 (4) 3335 (3) 960 (4) -235 (3) 4158 (3) 5383 (3) 2748 (4) 3149 (3) 1442 (4) 934 (3) 1442 (4) 936 (4) 1440 (4) 256 (4) -225 (4)	$B_{eq} = \frac{8}{3}\pi^2 \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^*$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		

full-matrix least squares and difference Fourier sy thesis, least-squares refinement on F. H atoms we introduced at calculated positions and positional ar isotropic thermal parameters refined individually, a non-H atoms were refined anisotropically. In the fin cycles a weighting scheme, w = 1/(1.31 - 0.042F + $0.00066F^2)^{1/2}$ , was adopted to give uniform  $w\Delta^2$ distribution over the F range.  $\breve{R} = 0.0222$ , wR =0.0270, S = 1.081,  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.30$  for positional parameters, 0.42 for thermal parameters,  $(\Delta \rho)_{max}$ = 0.5,  $(\Delta \rho)_{\min} = -0.3 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ . Scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). With the exception of ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) all computer programs were written in this Department. Table 1 gives atom parameters\* and Table 2 bond lengths and angles. Fig. 1 shows a view of the molecule.

**Related literature.** The title compound is the first crystallographically characterized, metal-metal bonded binuclear complex which incorporates the dicarbonyl-(cycloheptatrienyl)molybdenum fragment; comparative structural data for this fragment are provided by  $[Mo(\eta-C_{7}H_{7})(CO)_{7}(\sigma-C_{6}H_{5})]$  (Churchill & O'Brien, 1969) and  $[Mo(\eta-C_{7}H_{7})(CO)_{2}Br]$  (Ziegler, Sasse & Nuber, 1975). The Mo-Mo bond length is shorter than that in closely related binuclear complexes

## Table 2. Bond lengths (Å) and angles (°)

	Mo(1)-Mo(2)	3.160 (1)	C(19)-C(13)	1.429 (8)
	Mo(1)-C(3)	2.013 (3)	Mo(2)-C(7)	1.966 (3)
	Mo(1)-C(5)	1.998 (4)	$M_{0}(2)-C(9)$	1.949 (3)
Bra	Mo(1)-C(13)	2.270 (4)	$M_0(2) - C(11)$	1.973 (3)
2.74	Mo(1)-C(14)	2.292 (4)	$M_0(2) - C(20)$	2.392 (4)
2.64	Mo(1)-C(15)	2.339 (4)	Mo(2)-C(21)	2-369 (3)
3.7	Mo(1)-C(16)	2.322 (4)	Mo(2)-C(22)	2.331 (3)
5.7	Mo(1)-C(17)	2.334 (4)	Mo(2)-C(23)	2.320 (3)
4.0	Mo(1)-C(18)	2.338 (4)	Mo(2)-C(24)	2.360 (4)
5.9	Mo(1)–C(19)	2.245 (4)	C(7)-O(8)	1.156 (4)
3.2	C(3)–O(4)	1.136 (4)	C(9)-O(10)	1.149 (4)
4.8	C(5)-O(6)	1.142 (4)	C(11)-O(12)	1-152 (4)
3.6	C(13)–C(14)	1.380 (7)	C(20)-C(21)	1.394 (5)
5.3	C(14)–C(15)	1.374 (6)	C(21)-C(22)	1.395 (5)
3.8	C(15)C(16)	1.356 (6)	C(22)-C(23)	1.399 (6)
5.8	C(16)–C(17)	1.371 (6)	C(23)-C(24)	1.391 (6)
6.8	C(17)–C(18)	1.357 (7)	C(24)C(20)	1.402 (6)
5.5	C(18)–C(19)	1.451 (8)		
4.8				
4.9	C(13)-Mo(1)-C	(14) 35.2(2)	C(20)-Mo(2)-C	(21) 34.0 (1
5.5	C(14)-Mo(1)-C	(15) 34.5 (2)	C(21)-Mo(2)-C	(22) 34.5 (1
6.7	C(15)-Mo(1)-C	(16) 33.8(1)	C(22)-Mo(2)-C	(23) 35.0 (1
8.0	C(16)-Mo(1)-C	(17) 34-2 (2)	C(23)-Mo(2)-C	(24) 34.6 (2
4.6	C(17)–Mo(1)–C	(18) 33-8 (2)	C(24)–Mo(2)–C	(20) 34.3 (1
4.0	C(18)-Mo(1)-C	(19) 36.9 (2)	C(7)-Mo(2)-C(9	9) 79.4 (1
4.2	C(19)-Mo(1)-C	(13) 36.9 (2)	C(7)–Mo(2)–C(	11) 107.5 (1
5.2	C(3) - Mo(1) - C(3)	5) 84.0 (1)	C(9)-Mo(2)-C(	11) 80-4 (1
5.2	Mo(1)-C(3)-O(	4) 177.7 (3)	Mo(2)-C(17)-O	(8) 168-5 (3
	Mo(1)-C(5)-O(6)	6) 178-1 (3)	Mo(2)-C(9)-O(	10) 177-8 (3
	C(3)-Mo(1)-Mc	$p(2) 78 \cdot 1(1)$	Mo(2)-C(11)-O	(12) 169.7 (3
	C(5)-Mo(1)-Mo	(2) 75.7 (1)	C(7)-Mo(2)-Mo	(1) 66 · 1 (1)
syn-	C(13) - C(14) - C(14)	(15) 130-1 (4)	C(9)-Mo(2)-Mo	o(1) 121-4 (1
vere	C(14) - C(15) - C(15)	(16) 129-5 (4)	C(11)–Mo(2)–M	o(1) 68-0 (1
and	C(15)-C(16)-C(16)	17) 128.9 (4)	C(20)-C(21)-C(	(22) 107-8 (3
	C(16) - C(17) - C(17)	(18) 129.9 (4)	C(21)-C(22)-C(22)	23) 108-0 (4
an	C(17) - C(18) - C(18)	(19) 127-3 (4)	C(22) - C(23) - C(23)	24) 108-3 (4
inal	C(18) - C(19) - C(19)	(13) 127.7 (5)	C(23) - C(24) - C(24)	20) 107.6 (4
		1/11 126.2770	(11A) (11A) (1	<b>100 4 / 4</b>



Fig. 1. ORTEP diagram and atomic numbering.

containing unsupported Mo-Mo single bonds, [Mo<sub>2</sub>- $(\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>6</sub>], 3.235 Å (Adams, Collins & Cotton, 1974) and  $[Mo_2(\eta-C,Me_5)_2(CO)_6]$ , 3.281 Å (Clegg, Compton, Errington & Norman, 1988). Additional features of note are the trans arrangement of the cyclopentadienyl and cycloheptatrienyl ligands and, in the tricarbonyl(cyclopentadienyl)molybdenum fragment, the bending at C of the two carbonyls located cis to the Mo-Mo bond.

We thank Mr O. S. Mills for the design and provision of software required for the solution of this structure.

<sup>\*</sup> Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, non-essential bond lengths and angles, normal intermolecular distances, and H-atom parameters have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 51280 (41 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

ADAMS, R. D., COLLINS, D. M. & COTTON, F. A. (1974). Inorg.

BREEZE, R., RICALTON, A. & WHITELEY, M. W. (1987). J.

CHURCHILL, M. R. & O'BRIEN, T. A. (1969). J. Chem. Soc. A, pp.

- Clegg, W., Compton, N. A., Errington, R. J. & Norman, N. C. (1988). Acta Cryst. C44, 568–570.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- ZIEGLER, M. L., SASSE, H. E. & NUBER, B. (1975). Z. Naturforsch. Teil B, 30, 26-29.

Acta Cryst. (1988). C44, 2027-2028

Organomet. Chem. 327, C29-C32.

Chem. 13, 1086-1090.

1110-1115.

# 1-Ethynyl-4-hydroperoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1-naphthol

By JANE A. MOORE, FRANK R. FRONCZEK AND RICHARD D. GANDOUR\*

Department of Chemistry, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803-1804, USA

(Received 28 March 1988; accepted 27 June 1988)

Abstract.  $C_{12}H_{12}O_3$ ,  $M_r = 204.2$ , monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ , a = 11.815 (2), b = 7.673 (2), c = 12.580 (3) Å,  $\beta =$  $V = 1033 \cdot 1 (9) \text{ Å}^3, \quad Z = 4,$  $115.06(2)^{\circ}$ ,  $D_r =$  $1.313 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ,  $\lambda(\text{Cu } K\alpha) = 1.54184 \text{ Å}$ ,  $\mu = 7.34 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , F(000) = 432, T = 299 K, R = 0.065 for 1420 observations (of 2131 unique data). The stereochemistry of the hydroxyl and hydroperoxyl groups is cis. The hydroperoxyl O–O bond distance is 1.476 (2) Å. The hydrogen-bonding pattern consists of two intermolecular interactions: a hydroperoxyl donor to the hydroxyl group, with an O···O distance of 2.818(2) Å and an  $O-H\cdots O$  angle of 168 (2)°, and a hydroxyl donor to O(3) of the hydroperoxyl group, with a distance and angle of 2.670(2) Å and  $170(2)^{\circ}$ , respectively.

**Experimental.** Colorless needles, m.p. 426–428 K, of 1-ethynyl-4-hydroperoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1-naphthol (1) were isolated



from a mixture of 1-tetralone and 1-ethynyl-1,2,-3,4-tetrahydro-1-naphthol in benzene, which was allowed to evaporate slowly over a period of 3 weeks. The rate of autooxidation of tetralins is increased by the

Table 1.	Coordinates	and	equivalent	isotropic	thermal
		para	meters		

$B_{\rm eq} = \frac{4}{3}(a^2\beta_{11} + b^2\beta_{22} + c^2\beta_{33} + ac\beta_{13}\cos\beta).$					
	x	у	Ζ	$B_{eq}(Å^2)$	
O(1)	0.1313 (2)	-0.0616 (3)	0.1309 (2)	5.26 (6)	
O(2)	0.1673 (2)	0.2560 (3)	0.4530 (2)	4.83 (5)	
O(3)	0.0869 (2)	0.2583 (3)	0.5163 (2)	5.29 (6)	
C(1)	0.2937 (2)	0.0282 (4)	0.3128 (2)	3.22 (6)	
C(2)	0.3753 (2)	0.0570 (5)	0.2595 (2)	3.83 (7)	
C(3)	0.4782 (2)	0.1620(5)	0.3112 (2)	4.42 (8)	
C(4)	0.5045 (2)	0.2409 (5)	0.4170 (2)	4.21 (8)	
C(5)	0.4244 (3)	0.2145 (5)	0.4706 (2)	3.94 (7)	
C(6)	0-3190 (2)	0.1100 (4)	0-4190 (2)	3.33 (6)	
C(7)	0.2333 (2)	0.0902 (4)	0.4803 (2)	4.00 (7)	
C(8)	0.1440 (3)	-0.0599 (5)	0-4339 (3)	5.18 (8)	
C(9)	0.0840 (2)	-0·0611 (5)	0.3019 (3)	5.01 (8)	
C(10)	0.1830 (2)	-0·0931 (4)	0.2550 (2)	3.72 (7)	
C(11)	0.2239 (2)	-0·2761 (4)	0.2748 (2)	3.80 (7)	
C(12)	0.2504 (3)	-0.4217 (5)	0.2874 (3)	5.52 (9)	

presence of a ketone (Robertson & Waters, 1948). Crystal size  $0.12 \times 0.16 \times 0.40$  mm, space group from systematic absences h0l with l odd and 0k0 with k odd, cell dimensions from setting angles of 25 reflections having  $25 < \theta < 30^{\circ}$ . Data collection on Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer, Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation, graphite monochromator,  $\omega - 2\theta$  scans designed for  $I = 50\sigma(I)$ , subject to max. scan time = 120 s, scan rates varied  $0.59-3.28^{\circ}$  min<sup>-1</sup>. Data having 2 <  $\theta < 75^{\circ}, 0 \le h \le 14, 0 \le k \le 9, -15 \le l \le 15$  measured. Data corrected for background, Lorentz, polarization, decay and absorption effects. Absorption corrections were based on  $\psi$  scans, with a minimum relative transmission coefficient of 69.90%. Standard reflections 200, 060, 004 indicated a 14.1% decay and a linear correction was applied.  $R_{int} = 0.033$  for averaging 0kl and  $0k\overline{l}$  data, 2131 unique data, 1420

© 1988 International Union of Crystallography

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed.